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Survey Objectives

Corporate Survey

• The main objectives of the Corporate Survey were to:
  – Understand the corporate knowledge of the new Fedwire format
  – Gauge corporate demand for the new format types
  – Understand the corporate responses to bank implementation plans

Bank Survey

• The main objectives of the Bank Survey were to:
  – Understand bank plans to implement the new Fedwire formats, with further analysis to identify:
    » Reasons for bank implementations
    » Reasons for delaying implementation
  – Gauge the bank perception of corporate demand for the new Fedwire formats
Survey Background

Background

- On Apr. 26, 2010 the Federal Reserve published a revised timeline for the implementation of the new Fedwire format (FAIM 3.02) with a stated implementation date of Nov. 19, 2011.

- The new wire transfer format provides for extended remittance information, payment notification and tracking, better alignment to SWIFT, and a few additional minor changes.
  - The centerpiece of the change is the addition of a new business function code called the customer transfer plus message (CTP), which allows the usage of extended remittance information.

- The **Federal Reserve is requiring banks to adopt the new FAIM 3.02 message format**, but will continue to offer the standard customer transfer message (CTR) as an option within the new FAIM 3.02 format.

- TSI conducted surveys to determine the level of corporate interest in the new expanded remittance format and the status of banks’ plans to implement the new format.
Key Implications

Corporates appear unaware of the proposed new Fedwire format at this time. Perhaps after it gets more exposure in the industry, it will become a high demand tool (like payee match).

- Over 55% of corporations were unaware that the Federal Reserve was implementing a Fedwire format. Over 200+ global corporates responded to this survey.

- Corporations are generally ambivalent about the need for a new Fedwire format to support their wire activities.

- The majority of corporations will not change banking relationships based on availability of the new Fedwire formats.

Banks must implement the new FAIM 3.02 standard and will need to work with the Fed to understand which elements are required and which elements are optional.

- Approximately 61% of banks are planning to implement the new Fedwire format.
  - However, 29% of banks are unsure of their plans to implement new Fedwire format.

- Banks are primarily instituting the new Fedwire format to maintain competitive parity.
  - Banks that do not have implementation plans are unsure of client demand for the new Fedwire format.

- In general, banks believe that the new Fedwire format is necessary, but not critical for larger clients.
  - Banks do not see demand for the new format in down market and public sector segments.
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Corporate Fedwire Survey
The majority of corporate respondents (approximately 74%) had annual revenues of at least $500 million.

- The Small Business ($0 to $10MM) and Commercial ($10 to $50MM) segments were the smallest respondent groups, however their response profiles did not differ from the larger corporations.
A majority of corporations (approximately 55%) are unaware that the Federal Reserve is implementing a new Fedwire format.
Corporate Demand

Corporations do not generally view the new Fedwire format as providing critical functionality to their business.

On a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 being unnecessary and 5 being critical, how important is this new wire format to your business?

- 5 (Critical)
- 4
- 3
- 2
- 1 (Unnecessary)
The vast majority of corporations will not switch banks if their banks fail to support the new message formats.

- Almost 50% of corporations indicated that they would not change their current banking relationships if a provider did not offer the Fedwire formats.

- Over 40% of corporations indicated that the strongest reaction that they would take would be to request that their bank(s) would offer the new message formats.

If your bank does not offer the new Fedwire format, what is your likely response?

- Make no changes to my banking relationships
- Request that my bank offer the new format
- Move my wire payments and other TM services to a different bank that supports the new format
- Other
- Move my wire payments to a different bank that supports the new format
Bank Fedwire Survey
Bank Respondent Profile

Bank respondents were almost evenly split between the four major asset size groups.

- There were equal numbers of respondents in the Tier 1 and 3 segments with 29% of respondents each.
- The Tier 2 and 4 segments had slightly fewer respondents with 21% of respondents each.

### Respondents by Total Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Tier</th>
<th>Total Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>More than $100 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10 to $100 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1 to $10B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Less than $1 B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A majority of banks (approximately 71%) are planning to implement the new Fedwire format.

- According to the Federal Reserve all banks are required to implement the new FAIM 3.02 format; however, they will not be required to offer the new CTP messages.
  - The response patterns indicate that banks are unaware of the requirements around the new Fedwire format and that there is room for further clarification.
    » Almost 1/3 of banks are apparently unaware of the Federal Reserve requirements.

Are you planning to implement the new Fedwire format?

- Yes: 71%
- Not sure: 29%
The vast majority of banks (approx. 90%) indicated that they were implementing the new Fedwire format to maintain competitive parity.

- Only 10% indicated that they were implementing the new Fedwire format because it was mandated by the Federal Reserve.
For banks that were unsure of implementation, the vast majority (approximately 75%) indicated that they were unsure of client demand for the new Fedwire format.

- For the banks that indicated “Other”, the main issues were around cost and the scope of the changes to the Fedwire format.

Why are you unsure about implementing the new Fedwire format?

- Unsure of client demand
- Unsure of competitive differentiation
- Unsure of potential costs
- Other
Banks perceive moderate demand for the new Fedwire format in the large corporate and middle market segments.

- Banks see limited demand for the new Fedwire format from small business and public sector clients.

**Bank Perception of Client Demand**

On a scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being unnecessary and 5 being critical, what is the expected need for the new Fedwire format?

- **Large Corporate**: 4.5
- **Middle Market**: 4.0
- **Financial Institutions**: 3.5
- **Non-Bank Financial Institutions**: 3.0
- **Small Business**: 2.5
- **Public Sector**: 2.0
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Our strategic advice and pragmatic solutions improve bottom line performance. Clients benefit from our 360° view of the treasury market, deep relationships, and proprietary analytic frameworks that transform data into strategic insights.

Clients
- Global Banks
- Regional Banks
- Solution Providers
- Associations
- Regulators

Solutions areas
- Business Strategy
- Revenue Enhancement
- Deposit & Sweep Pricing
- Sales Training & Effectiveness
- Product Opportunity & Gap Analysis
- Operational Efficiency
- Risk Management & Compliance
- Competitive Assessment & Positioning
- Vendor Selection & RFP Management
- Market Analysis
About Treasury Strategies, Inc.

Who We Are
Treasury Strategies, Inc. is the leading treasury consulting firm working with corporations and financial services providers. Our experience and thought leadership in treasury management, working capital management, liquidity and payments, combined with our comprehensive view of the market, rewards you with a unique perspective, unparalleled insights and actionable solutions.

What We Do
**Corporations**
We help you maximize worldwide treasury performance and navigate regulatory and payment system changes through a focus on best practices, technology, liquidity and controls.

**Treasury Technology**
We provide guidance through every step of the technology process. Our expert approach will uncover opportunities to optimize the value of your treasury through fully integrated technology solutions.

**Financial Services**
Our experience, analytic approach and benchmarks provide unique consulting solutions to help you strengthen and grow your business.