European Money Market Mutual Fund Survey on Regulation:

Summary Results & Analysis
Executive Summary

Treasury Strategies, the leading consulting firm in the area of treasury, payments, and liquidity management, is pleased to present the results of a recent survey we conducted to assess the potential impacts of changes to calculating the net asset value (NAV) of Money Market Mutual Funds (MMFs) within Europe.

We surveyed unique corporate, government, and institutional investors in November of 2012. The respondents are sophisticated investors (corporate treasury executives) with nearly 60% representing organizations that have annual revenues exceeding €1 billion.

Our survey results indicate that any attempt to eliminate the constant net asset value (CNAV) methodology for pricing MMFs in Europe would generate a negative reaction among investors. A large segment of respondents surveyed indicated that if enacted, they would either decrease or discontinue their use of money market funds. Analyses by industry and by company size show that this sentiment is pervasive. There were no material differences by respondent sector.

In order to test whether the behavior and attitudes varied depending upon the region in which a company was domiciled, we compiled the results in two parts. Part I is comprised of all companies participating in the survey, irrespective of the country of domicile. Part II is comprised of only those companies domiciled in Europe.
Executive Summary

Part I - All Companies

About the Survey
- 266 unique institutions were sampled
- 49% of respondents managed investments in Europe
- Of the respondents that managed European funds 50% use MMFs
- Of those respondents using MMFs
  - 61% use CNAV funds only
  - 9% use VNAV funds only
  - 30% use a combination of CNAV and VNAV funds

Findings
- MMFs represent 25% of the value of European investments
- IF CNAV funds were disallowed 69% of CNAV-only investors would reduce or discontinue using MMFs
  - This potential reduction/discontinuance of MMF usage represents 91% of the value of CNAV investor portfolios
- 72% of CNAV investors would use European bank deposits as an alternative investment in place of MMFs
- 56% of CNAV investors would use other short-term investment vehicles in place of MMFs
- 50% of CNAV investors would move their MMF investments to CNAV funds in other jurisdictions
- 39% of CNAV investors would use bank deposits in other jurisdictions as an alternative investment in place of MMFs
Executive Summary

Part II - European Domiciled Companies ONLY

About the Survey
• 150 unique institutions were sampled
• 58% of respondents managed investments in Europe
• Of the respondents that managed European funds 39% use MMFs
• Of those respondents using MMFs
  § 63% use CNAV funds only
  § 12% use VNAV funds only
  § 25% use a combination of CNAV and VNAV funds

Findings
• MMFs represent 31% of the value of European investments
• IF CNAV funds were disallowed 54% of CNAV-only investors would reduce or discontinue using MMFs
  – This potential reduction/discontinuance of MMF usage represents 79% of the value of CNAV investor portfolios
• 57% of CNAV investors would use European bank deposits as an alternative investment in place of MMFs
• 57% of CNAV investors would use other short-term investment vehicles in place of MMFs
• 29% of CNAV investors would use bank deposits in other jurisdictions as an alternative investment in place of MMFs
• 14% of CNAV investors would move their MMF investments to CNAV funds in other jurisdictions
Conclusions

On the basis of our survey results, we conclude that organizations that manage European-domiciled cash and short-term investments:

- View money market funds as an essential cash management tool,
- Would seek out investment alternatives should the constant net asset value methodology for MMFs be eliminated.

We further conclude that corporate treasurers will respond negatively to restrictions to valuation methodologies for MMFs. A large group of MMFs would either scale back their use of money funds or discontinue use of them altogether. Additionally the overwhelmingly majority of investors that exclusively use CNAV MMFs would seek alternative investment products to replace MMFs.

Finally, we conclude that the findings among both European domiciled companies and non-European domiciled companies were directionally very similar.

On the basis of our research, should any proposal to limit the use of CNAV funds in Europe be adopted, MMF investors will speak loudly with one voice, and simply seek investment alternatives.
Part I

All Survey Participants
Overview: Participant Demographics

Key demographic distributions from our survey are shown below, and on the following pages:

58% of respondents have annual revenues that exceed €1 billion.

Respondents by Revenue Size

- 58% Over €1B
- 42% Under €1B

Respondent by organizational titles include:
- Chief Executive Officer
- Chief Financial Officer
- Treasurer
- Assistant Treasurer
- Treasury Manager
- Director of Finance

58% of respondents have annual revenues that exceed €1 billion.
48% of respondents that manage European domiciled cash and short-term investment portfolios use some form of MMFs as an investment vehicle.
Managers of European Domiciled Investments

Distribution of European investment managers by region is shown below.

**Respondents That Manage European Investments (By Region)**

- **Europe**: 68%
- **North America**: 23%
- **Asia**: 7%
- **South America**: 2%
Managers of European Domiciled Investments

Respondents That Manage European Investments (By Country)
Select Demographics: Country
Companies with Annual Revenue Over €1bn

Respondents That Manage European Investments (By Country)
(Companies with Annual Revenue Over €1bn)

- UK: 16%
- Ireland: 7%
- France: 7%
- Belgium: 7%
- Spain: 5%
- Norway: 5%
- Luxembourg: 5%
- Netherlands: 4%
- Italy: 3%
- Finland: 3%
- Switzerland: 1%
- Romania: 1%
- Poland: 1%
- Germany: 1%
- Brasil: 1%
- Australia: 1%
- Argentina: 1%
- USA: 29%
Select Demographics: Country
Companies with Annual Revenue Under €1bn

Respondents That Manage European Investments (By Country)
(Companies with Annual Revenue Under €1bn)

- UK: 17%
- Italy: 9%
- Spain: 7%
- Portugal: 7%
- India: 6%
- Ireland: 4%
- Belgium: 4%
- Luxembourg: 4%
- Germany: 4%
- Greece: 4%
- Canada: 4%
- Netherlands: 2%
- Finland: 2%
- Switzerland: 2%
- Poland: 2%
- Argentina: 2%
- Pakistan: 2%
- New Zealand: 2%
- Luxemburg: 2%
- Lebanon: 2%
- Hong Kong: 2%
- Denmark: 2%
- Bulgaria: 2%
- USA: 9%
Of those respondents managing European domiciled investments, **50% place all or some percentage of their investments in MMFs.**

### Use of MMFs within European Short-term Investment Portfolios

- **100% of portfolio**: 8%
- **Between 75%-99%**: 8%
- **Between 50%-75%**: 8%
- **Between 20%-50%**: 15%
- **Less than 20%**: 11%
- **0% of portfolio**: 50%

*132 Respondents*
With respect to European MMF investments, our survey results indicate the following:

- 61% of respondents only invest in MMFs that calculate net asset value using a constant value methodology (CNAV)
- 30% of respondents invest in both CNAV and VNAV MMFs
- 9% of investors do not invest any percentage of their MMF investments in CNAV funds, thereby exclusively using funds that determine net asset value based upon a variable/floating value methodology (VNAV)

**Use of Funds by Type**

- 61% CNAV MMFs only
- 30% Combined use of CNAV and VNAV Funds
- 9% VNAV MMFs only
Overall, MMFs represent approximately 25% of European domiciled cash and short-term Investment portfolios.

By value (€) **CNAV funds represent 87% of total MMF investments.**
Floating NAV Proposal

Survey Question

There are discussions to change MMFs regulations in Europe that would restrict the use of a constant net asset value (NAV) methodology to value MMFs. Only MMFs using variable or floating (VNAV) funds would be available. Under typical market conditions, it is anticipated that the share prices using a VNAV methodology would fluctuate within a very narrow range.

Proponents say this will ensure everyone pays and receives a price that automatically reflects any gains or losses and that it reduces the potential for runs on MMFs during adverse situations.

Opponents argue that a floating NAV would impair the use of funds as a liquidity instrument, as well as cause other legal, accounting, tax, and market disruptions.

*If the floating NAV methodology were adopted across Europe and CNAV were not permitted in Europe, what action would your organization most likely take?*

A. Increase use of MMFs  
B. Continue using MMFs at current level  
C. Decrease use of MMFs  
D. Stop using MMFs entirely
The Impact of Restricting CNAV MMFs on MMF Investors in Europe

If CNAV funds were not permitted in Europe, 44% of current investors in MMFs would reduce or discontinue using MMFs as an investment vehicle.

The corresponding percentage reduction in total portfolio value is 78% of total MMF investments.
The Impact of Restricting CNAV MMFs on CNAV Investors in Europe

If CNAV funds were not permitted in Europe, **69% of current CNAV-only investors would reduce or discontinue using MMFs as an investment vehicle.**

The corresponding percentage reduction in total portfolio value is **91% of total CNAV investments.**
Should CNAV funds disappear from the European investment landscape, those investors that would either decrease or discontinue the use of MMFs have indicated how they would direct investments to alternative vehicles to replace the use of MMFs.

Investment Alternatives to CNAV Funds

- European bank deposits: 72%
- Other short-term investments: 56%
- MMFs in other jurisdictions which utilize the CNAV methodology: 50%
- Bank deposits in other jurisdictions: 39%
- Private accounts - outside manager: 33%
- Manage in-house: 22%
44% of respondents, accounting for 16% of total European domiciled short-term investments, indicated they have an existing investment policy, law, or other restriction that prohibits them from investing short-term cash in a Variable (fluctuating) NAV instrument.
Part II

European Domiciled Companies Only
Overview: Participant Demographics

Key demographic distributions from our survey are shown below, and on the following pages:

56% of respondents have annual revenues that exceed €1 billion.

Respondent by organizational titles include:
- Chief Executive Officer
- Chief Financial Officer
- Treasurer
- Assistant Treasurer
- Treasury Manager
- Director of Finance
39% of European respondents that manage European domiciled cash and short-term investment portfolios use some form of MMFs as an investment vehicle.
Managers of European Domiciled Investments

European Respondents That Manage European Investments
(Companies with Annual Revenue Under €1bn)

- **UK**: 24%
- **Italy**: 13%
- **Spain**: 11%
- **Portugal**: 8%
- **Luxembourg**: 8%
- **Ireland**: 5%
- **Greece**: 5%
- **Germany**: 5%
- **Belgium**: 5%
- **Switzerland**: 3%
- **Poland**: 3%
- **Netherlands**: 3%
- **Finland**: 3%
- **Denmark**: 3%
- **Bulgaria**: 3%
Managers of European Domiciled Investments

European Respondents That Manage European Investments (Companies with Annual Revenue Over €1bn)

- UK: 24%
- Ireland: 10%
- France: 10%
- Belgium: 10%
- Spain: 8%
- Norway: 8%
- Luxembourg: 8%
- Netherlands: 6%
- Italy: 4%
- Switzerland: 2%
- Romania: 2%
- Poland: 2%
- Germany: 2%
- Finland: 2%
Of those European-based respondents managing European domiciled investments, **42% place all or some percentage of their investments in MMFs.**
With respect to European MMF investments, our survey results indicate the following:

- 63% of respondents only invest in MMFs that calculate net asset value using a constant value methodology (CNAV)
- 25% of respondents invest in both CNAV and VNAV MMFs
- 12% of investors do not invest any percentage of their MMF investments in CNAV funds, thereby exclusively using funds that determine net asset value based upon a variable/floating value methodology (VNAV)
For European-based respondents, MMFs represent approximately 31% of European domiciled cash and short-term Investment portfolios.

By value (€) **CNAV funds represent 77% of total MMF investments.**
Survey Question

There are discussions to change MMFs regulations in Europe that would restrict the use of a constant net asset value (NAV) methodology to value MMFs. Only MMFs using variable or floating (VNAV) funds would be available. Under typical market conditions, it is anticipated that the share prices using a VNAV methodology would fluctuate within a very narrow range.

Proponents say this will ensure everyone pays and receives a price that automatically reflects any gains or losses and that it reduces the potential for runs on MMFs during adverse situations.

Opponents argue that a floating NAV would impair the use of funds as a liquidity instrument, as well as cause other legal, accounting, tax, and market disruptions.

*If the floating NAV methodology were adopted across Europe and CNAV were not permitted in Europe, what action would your organization most likely take?*

A. Increase use of MMFs  
B. Continue using MMFs at current level  
C. Decrease use of MMFs  
D. Stop using MMFs entirely
The Impact of Restricting CNAV MMFs on MMF Investors in Europe

If CNAV funds were not permitted in Europe, **30% of current European-based investors in MMFs would reduce or discontinue using MMFs as an investment vehicle.**

The corresponding percentage reduction in total portfolio value is 58% of total MMF investments.
If CNAV funds were not permitted in Europe, **54% of current CNAV-only investors (in Europe) would reduce or discontinue using MMFs as an investment vehicle.**

The corresponding percentage reduction in total portfolio value is **79% of total CNAV investments.**
Alternative Investments to CNAV Funds

Should CNAV funds disappear from the European investment landscape, those investors that would either decrease or discontinue the use of MMFs have indicated how they would direct investments to alternative vehicles to replace the use of MMFs.

![Investment Alternatives to CNAV Funds](chart.png)
43% of European respondents, accounting for 21% of total European domiciled short-term investments, indicated they have an existing investment policy, law, or other restriction that prohibits them from investing short-term cash in a Variable (fluctuating) NAV instrument.
About Treasury Strategies, Inc.

Who We Are
Treasury Strategies, Inc. is the leading treasury consulting firm working with corporations and financial services providers. Our experience and thought leadership in treasury management, working capital management, liquidity and payments, combined with our comprehensive view of the market, rewards you with a unique perspective, unparalleled insights and actionable solutions.

What We Do

Corporations
We help you maximize worldwide treasury performance and navigate regulatory and payment system changes through a focus on best practices, technology, liquidity and controls.

Treasury Technology
We provide guidance through every step of the technology process – which includes creating a roadmap, selection, implementation and optimization. Our expert approach will uncover opportunities to optimize the value of your treasury through fully integrated technology solutions.

Financial Services
Our experience, analytic approach and benchmarks provide unique consulting solutions to help you strengthen and grow your business.

Locations
Chicago • London • New York
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Connect with Us
www.TreasuryStrategies.com/content/networking-communities
@TreasuryStrat